

Proposal of a new method for subtyping of *Mycobacterium kansasii* based upon PCR-restriction enzyme analysis of the *tuf* gene

Zofia Bakula¹, Magdalena Modrzejewska¹, Aleksandra Safianowska², Jacek Bielecki¹, Tomasz Jagielski¹

¹Department of Applied Microbiology, Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Biology, University of Warsaw, I. Miecznikowa 1, 02-096 Warsaw, Poland

²Department of Internal Medicine, Pulmonology, and Allergology, Warsaw Medical University, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland

Introduction and Aim. *Mycobacterium kansasii*, an important cause of pulmonary disease, closely resembling pulmonary tuberculosis, is one of the most frequently isolated clinical species of non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) (1). There are seven recognized *M. kansasii* subtypes or genotypes I-VII (2). This intraspecies heterogeneity has clinical and epidemiological implications. Types I and II are the most prevalent and have been associated with human disease, whereas the other five (III-VII) are predominantly of environmental origin (3, 4). Currently, there are two major methods used for the identification of *M. kansasii* subtypes I-VI, with either *hsp65* or *rpoB* gene coding for the 65-kDa heat shock protein and β -subunit of RNA polymerase, respectively, or 16S-23S rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) as molecular targets (5-7). Recently, the *tuf* gene, coding for the Tu (thermo-unstable) elongation factor (EF-Tu) has been exploited as a useful marker for the taxonomic classification of mycobacteria (8). The aim of this study was to investigate whether the *tuf* gene can be used for subtyping of *M. kansasii*.

Materials and Methods. Six bacterial strains, representatives of six *M. kansasii* subtypes (I-VI) were used in the study. All strains were cultured on Löwenstein-Jensen medium. Genomic DNA was extracted with the cetyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB) method, as described elsewhere (9). For the amplification of the *tuf* gene fragment (*ca.* 740 bp) a PCR protocol with T1 and T2 primers was employed (8). The same primers were used for sequencing of the obtained amplicons. Based on the sequence data, the search for a restriction enzyme that would yield genotype-specific patterns and simulations of restriction digestions were performed *in silico* by using insilico.ehu.es software. The DNA fragments were electrophoresed and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide and UV fluorescence.

Results. Upon *in silico* analysis, MvaI was found as the only enzyme to produce distinct patterns for each of the six (I-VI) *M. kansasii* subtypes and thus was selected for PCR-restriction enzyme analysis (-REA) assay. The amplicons representing partial *tuf* gene sequence were MvaI-digested and the resulting DNA fragments matched exactly those established *in silico*. The specific and unique restriction patterns determined for *M. kansasii* subtypes I-VI are given below (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Differentiation of *Mycobacterium kansasii* subtypes by PCR-REA of the *tuf* gene with MvaI.

Subtype	Fragments length (bp)	
	designed <i>in silico</i>	expected on agarose gel
I	321, 87, 84, 70, 69, 59, 51	320, 90, 70, 60, 50
II	321, 121, 87, 84, 69, 58	320, 120, 90, 70, 60
III	321, 171, 71, 69, 58, 51	320, 170, 70, 60, 50
IV	492, 72, 63, 58, 51, 6	490, 70, 60, 50
V	390, 171, 71, 57, 51	390, 170, 70, 60, 50
VI	408, 120, 84, 72, 59	410, 120, 80, 70, 60

Conclusion. In this study, a new PCR-REA assay was proposed, which allows for differentiation of *M. kansasii* subtypes with the use of only one restriction enzyme. This could be a new molecular tool for the discrimination of *M. kansasii* subtypes.

The study was financed by the National Centre for Research and Development «LIDER» Programme (LIDER/044/457/L-4/12/NCBR/2013)

References

1. Braun E, Sprecher H, Davidson S, Kassis I. (2013): Epidemiology and clinical significance of non-tuberculous mycobacteria isolated from pulmonary specimens. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 17:96-99.
2. Taillard C, Greub G, Weber R, Pfyffer GE, Bodmer T, Zimmerli S, Frei R, Bassetti S, Rohner P, Piffaretti JC, Bernasconi E, Bille J, Telenti A, Prod'hom G. (2003): Clinical implications of *Mycobacterium kansasii* species heterogeneity: Swiss National Survey. *J Clin Microbiol* 41:1240-1244.
3. Bakula Z, Safianowska A, Nowacka-Mazurek M, Bielecki J, Jagielski T. (2013): Subtyping of *Mycobacterium kansasii* by PCR-Restriction Enzyme Analysis of the *hsp65* gene. *Biomed Res Int* 2013:167954.
4. Picardeau M, Prod'hom G, Raskine L, LePennec MP, Vincent V. (1997): Genotypic characterization of five subspecies of *Mycobacterium kansasii*. *J Clin Microbiol* 35:25-32.
5. Iwamoto T, Saito H. (2005): Comparative study of two typing methods *hsp65* PRA and ITS sequencing revealed a possible evolutionary link between *Mycobacterium kansasii* type I and II isolates. *FEMS Microbiol Let* 254:129-133.
6. Telenti A, Marchesi F, Balz M, Bally F, Böttger EC, Bodmer T. (1993): Rapid identification of mycobacteria to the species level by polymerase chain reaction and restriction enzyme analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 31:175-178.
7. Kim BJ, Lee KH, Park BN, Kim SJ, Bal GH, Kim SJ, Kook YH. (2001): Differentiation of mycobacterial species by PCR-restriction analysis of DNA (343 base pairs) of the RNA polymerase gene (*rpoB*). *J Clin Microbiol* 39:2102-2109.
8. Mignard S, Flandrois JP. (2007): Identification of *Mycobacterium* using the EF-Tu encoding (*tuf*) gene and the tmRNA encoding (*ssrA*) gene. *J Med Microbiol* 56:1033-1041.
9. van Embden JDA, Cave MD, Crawford JT, Dale JW, Eisenach KD, Gicquel B, Hermans P, Martin C, McAdam R, Schinnick TM.: Strain identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by DNA fingerprinting: recommendations for a standardized methodology. *J Clin Microbiol* 31:406-440.